

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Neuroimage. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 August 15.

Published in final edited form as:

Neuroimage. 2010 August 15; 52(2): 508-514. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.04.255.

Whole brain quantitative T2 MRI across multiple scanners with dual echo FSE: Applications to AD, MCI, and normal aging

Corinna M Bauer 1, Hernán Jara $^{2,3},$ Ron Killiany $^{1,4,5,*},$ and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative

¹Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, Boston University School of Medicine, 700 Albany Street, W701 Boston, MA 02118

²Department of Radiology, Boston Medical Center, Boston University School of Medicine, 820 Harrison Avenue, Boston, MA 02118

³Department of Biomedical Engineering, Boston University, 44 Cummington Street, Boston, MA 02215

⁴Center for Biomedical Imaging, Boston University School of Medicine, 650 Albany Street, Boston, MA 02118

⁵Department of Environmental Health, Boston University School of Public Health, 715 Albany Street, Boston, MA 02118

Abstract

The ability to pool data from multiple MRI scanners is becoming increasingly important with the influx in multi-site research studies. Fast spin echo (FSE) dual spin echo sequences are often chosen for such studies based principally on their short acquisition time and clinically useful contrasts they provide for assessing gross pathology. The practicality of measuring FSE-T2 relaxation properties has rarely been assessed. Here, FSE-T2 relaxation properties are examined across the three main scanner vendors (General Electric (GE), Philips, and Siemens). The American College of Radiology (ACR) phantom was scanned on four 1.5T platforms (two GE, one Philips, and one Siemens) to determine if the dual echo pulse sequence is susceptible to vendor-based variance. In addition, data from 85 subjects spanning the spectrum of normal aging, mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and Alzheimer's disease (AD) was obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) to affirm the presence of any phantom based between vendor variance and determine the relationship between this variance and disease. FSE-T2 relaxation properties, including peak FSE-T2 and histogram width, were calculated for each phantom and human subject. Direct correspondence was found between the phantom and human subject data. Peak FSE-T2 of Siemens scanners was consistently at least 20ms prolonged compared to GE and Philips. Siemens scanners showed broader FSE-T2 histograms than the other

^{© 2010} Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

^{*}Corresponding Author: Ron Killiany, PhD, Boston University School of Medicine, 700 Albany Street, Room W-701, Boston, MA 02118, killiany@bu.eduPhone: 617-638-8082, Fax: 617-638-4922.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Data used in the preparation for this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (http://www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI). As such, the investigator's within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data, but did not participate in analysis or writing of this manuscript. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI/Collaboration/ADNI_Citation.shtml.

scanners. Greater variance was observed across GE scanners than either Philips or Siemens. FSE-T2 differences were much greater with scanner vendor than between diagnostic groups, as no significant changes in peak FSE-T2 or histogram width between normal aged, MCI, and AD subject groups were observed. These results indicate that whole brain histogram measures are not sensitive enough to detect FSE-T2 changes between normal aging, MCI, and AD and that FSE-T2 is highly variable across scanner vendors.

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by an insidious onset followed by gradual decline in cognitive function. It is the most common form of dementia and is quickly becoming a global crisis, affecting approximately 10% of individuals over age 65 and nearly 50% of individuals over 85 years of age (Evans et al., 1989). An additional 19% of individuals over age 65, and 29% over age 85, are estimated to have mild cognitive impairment (MCI) (Lopez et al., 2003). MCI represents the transitional phase between normal aging and probable AD, whereby individuals have diminished memory function, yet maintain normal levels of daily activity and are not demented (Petersen et al., 2001). Individuals with the amnestic form of MCI are more likely to develop AD than their normal counterparts; those with amnestic MCI show rates of conversion around 12% per year, whereas normal elderly tend to convert to AD at 1–2% a year (Petersen et al., 1999). With the development of potential therapeutic interventions it is becoming important to identify potential biomarkers of the disease presence as early as possible.

Tissue relaxation properties as measured with quantitative T2 MRI have the potential be a valuable resource in early identification of individuals with MCI and AD. T2 is a function of tissue free water properties and the local environment of the nuclei, allowing one to examine tissue state and hydration (Jack, 1996). The neuropathology of MCI and AD both involve the deposition of neurofibrillary tangles and amyloid plaques. These entities result in neuronal loss and may affect the relaxation properties of the surrounding tissue, which in turn may alter the T2 times. Histological studies suggest that iron and water content increase in AD brains, resulting in shortened and prolonged T2 values, respectively (Schenck et al., 2006), as T2 is sensitive to iron levels in brain tissue (Vymazal et al., 1996; Bartzokis et al., 1997). Because quantitative T2 values reflect tissue characteristics, it may be useful to study neuronal degradation in AD prior to other detectable atrophy.

Most previous research on T2 relaxation in AD has been conducted at a single research center using data collected on a single scanner. Given the current trend for conducting multisite studies and for individual sites to have multiple scanners, often from different vendors, it is beneficial to examine T2 relaxation properties in a multi-vendor research study. Multisite investigations enable a larger recruitment population than at a single site; however, studies using imaging information obtained at multiple sites have the added difficulty of ensuring consistent results between sites. Of importance to this study are potential differences in the fast (a.k.a. turbo) spin echo (FSE) readouts used with the 2D-FSE pulse sequence. It has been shown using voxel based morphometry (VBM) that the effect of disease on regional brain volume may be greater than the effect of scanner variation in a population of AD and normal aged subjects across six scanners (Stonnington et al., 2008). The current study will examine if the same holds true for FSE-T2 relaxation. It should be noted that there are a number of ways to measure T2 and the results of each method are likely to be dependent upon the sequence used. For the purposes of clarity in this manuscript we will be referring to T2 as FSE-T2 in order to reflect the methodology/sequence that was used to measure it.

Prior studies on scanner variability have focused on volumetric measures, primarily derived from T1-weighted pulse sequences. Image uniformity, geometry (Ihalainen et al., 2004; Fu

et al., 2006), and signal to noise ratio (Fu et al., 2006) have been shown to vary both within and between vendors and platforms; but, no studies have examined quantitative T2 using fast spin echo sequences across multiple vendors or platforms. Since no sequences are standardized between vendors, it is unknown if the acquired FSE-T2 values are similar or different, and to what degree they may be different. One relaxometry study that was conducted across three research sites using GE and Siemens platforms has shown minimal variance due to scanner using the DESPOT2 (driven equilibrium single pulse observation of T2) pulse sequence (Deoni et al., 2008). In the present study we tested if the dual echo FSE pulse sequence showed similar accuracy between scanner vendors using both the ACR phantom and human subjects. It should be noted that specific pulse sequence parameters are often slightly difference between scanner vendors and platforms in multi-site imaging studies in order to produce images with similar image quality and appearance. It is unclear how these pulse sequence variations between vendors affect FSE-T2 values. This paper seeks to quantify these potential discrepancies.

The specific aim of the current study was to assess the FSE-T2 values obtained from MRI scans of phantoms and the human brain on either GE, Philips, or Siemens scanners. We used ACR phantom scans from four MRI scanners and human MRI data acquired from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database, incorporating multiple platforms from three manufacturers. The human subjects fell into the categories of normal aging (NL), MCI or AD groups. In this context, the purposes of this work were: first, to study possible vendor-dependent systematic differences in FSE-T2 in the ACR phantom and human subjects, and second, to explore possible FSE-T2 histogram signatures of normal aging, mild cognitive impairment, and Alzheimer's disease.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

ACR Phantom—The American College of Radiology (ACR) magnetic resonance accreditation phantom was used to test for scanner variability. The ACR MR phantom is designed to test a number of parameters, including geometric distortion, spatial resolution, slice thickness and position, interslice gap, estimation of image bandwidth, low contrast detectability, image uniformity, signal to noise ratio, slice offset, and landmark. For more detailed information on this phantom, refer to

http://www.aamp.org/meetings/99AM/pdf/2728-58500.pdf. The ACR phantom was used because it is widely available and already part of the certification system for scanners. It should be noted that the ADNI phantom is also used each time a subject is scanned in the ADNI study, but it is only scanned with the MP-RAGE sequence and there are no data available with this phantom using the FSE sequence. We asked the specific sites listed in the acknowledgements to run the ACR phantom for us as an additional scan.

ADNI Subjects—Data from 85 subjects (age range = 60 - 91, average age = 75.47, 44 females, 41 males, N_{NL} = 32 N_{MCI} = 26, N_{AD} = 27) across three vendors (27 Philips, 29 GE, 29 Siemens) was selected from the ADNI database (www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI). MMSE scores for each subject were obtained (MMSE_{NC} = 24 - 30, MMSE_{MCI} = 17 - 29, MMSE_{AD} = 18 - 29) (Table 1). Data from 18 ADNI study sites across Canada and the United States were chosen at random for the current study. Multiple scanner brands from each vendor were accepted in the study: GE: Signa Excite, Signa HDx; Philips: Achieva, Intera, Gyroscan Intera, Intera Achieva; Siemens: Sonata, Symphony.

All participants in the ADNI underwent a battery of neuropsychological tests, including the MMSE (Folstein et al., 1975), the CDR-Sum of Boxes (Morris, 1993), and the Global dementia scale (GD-scale) (Auer and Reisberg, 1997; Reisberg et al., 1988). Subjects were

clinically assessed for cognitive status and classified as: (a) normal controls with normal cognition and memory, CDR 0, and MMSE between 24–30; (b) amnestic MCI with memory complaint verified by a study partner, memory loss measured by education-adjusted performance on the Logical Memory II subscale of the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised (Wechsler, 1987), preserved activities of daily living, CDR 0.5, MMSE between 24 and 30, and absence of dementia at time of baseline MRI scan; or (c) probable AD with memory complaint validated by an informant, abnormal memory function for age and education level, absence of depression, impaired activities of daily living, diminished cognition, CDR > 0.5, and MMSE between 20 - 26.

Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative—The ADNI is a 5-year nonrandomized natural history non-treatment study utilizing data from multiple study centers across the United States and Canada. The primary aim of the ADNI is to examine if serial MRI, PET, biological markers, and clinical and neuropsychological assessments can be combined to analyze the progression of MCI to early AD. The ADNI is a public-private partnership, launched in 2003, funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, the Food and Drug Administration, private pharmaceutical companies, and non-profit organizations.

One of the main goals of the ADNI is to develop optimized methods and uniform standards for the acquisition of multicenter MRI and PET data on normal control subjects and patients with AD and MCI. For more information, refer to http://www.adni-info.org.

Image Acquisition: For the phantom scans, MRI scanning was performed on four 1.5T scanners: GE Signa Excite, GE HDx, Philips Intera, and Siemens Avanto. For the ADNI subjects, 1.5T scanners from General Electric (GE), Philips Medical Systems, and Siemens Medical Solutions were used for examination of tissue from below the base of the cerebellum through the top of the head.

For both the ACR phantom and study participants, the dual fast/turbo spin echo pulse sequence used was acquired in the straight axial plane with the following parameters: effective echo time (TE1_{eff}) = 10.04 - 13ms, TE2_{eff} = 95.22 - 103ms, repetition time (TR) = 3000ms, echo train length (ETL) (turbo factor) = 7-16 (GE = 16, Philips = 10, Siemens = 7* one Siemens subject had an ETL of 13), echo spacing = 12 - 12.7ms, slice thickness = 3.0mm, slice gap = 0mm, pixel spacing = 0.9375mm, matrix size = $228 - 256 \times 256$. Effective TE was consistent across Philips platforms, but for both GE and Siemens it varied within vendor. Matching parameters between platforms does not produce the same image quality, thus small variations in pulse sequence parameters are often incorporated in large-scale studies. More specific parameter values for each research site can be found at http://www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI/Research/Cores. For simplicity, the pulse sequence will be referred to throughout as FSE, although both Philips and Siemens call it turbo spin echo.

Data processing and segmentation—Images from the two echo times, for both phantoms and human subjects, were separated using EFilm (Merge Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI), providing two separate image stacks, one from TE1, the other from TE2. Header information was separated from the images using Image J (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/). Refer to Figure 1 for a flow diagram of the post-processing steps between the directly acquired images and the histograms.

The datasets were then analyzed with an in-house computer program using MathCAD 2001i (PTC, Needham, MA) software to generate quantitative-MRI maps (Jara et al., 2006; Suzuki, Sakai, and Jara, 2006; Jensen, Caruthers, and Jara, 2001). FSE-T2 quantitative maps were generated on a pixel-by-pixel basis from the two T2-weighted dual echo FSE datasets,

according to the mono-exponential function: $T2 = (TE_2-TE_1)/\ln (S_1/S_2)$, where S_1 and S_2 were the signals obtained at TE_1 and TE_2 , respectively. Figure 2 shows typical FSE-T2 quantitative maps for NL subjects, MCI subjects, and patients with probable AD.

The brain was segmented using a dual-clustering segmentation algorithm in an in-house MathCAD program (Suzuki, Sakai, and Jara, 2006; Jara et al., 2006; Jensen, Caruthers, and Jara, 2001). The scans were individually analyzed to obtain the best segmentation of the whole brain and eliminate the inclusion of fat and extra-cranial matter (Figure 3). Overall the program was able to segment brain tissue from each vendor with no appreciable differences in ease.

Histograms of FSE-T2 relaxation times were generated from the FSE-T2 maps using MathCAD (Figure 4). The histogram shows an approximately monomodal curve with an asymmetrical tail representing meninges and extra-ventricular CSF. The main peak represents the T2 relaxation time of both gray and white matter (MacKay et al., 2006;Jara et al., 2006).

Statistics—Phantom data were visually analyzed for differences in peak FSE-T2 and histogram width. Statistical analysis was performed on the human data using Excel, Datadesk version 6.1, and SPSS 13. Differences in gender composition between groups were assessed using χ^2 test. Multiple analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to examine differences between diagnostic groups and platform vendor on peak FSE-T2, FSE-T2 full width at half maximum (T2-width), and volumes for each segment. Scheffe post-hoc analysis was performed on the data. The overall interaction between scanner, diagnosis, and dependent variables was examined with MANOVA with further pairwise comparisons using Scheffe post-hoc analysis. F-tests were used to examine variance between scanner vendors for peak FSE-T2 and histogram width. ANOVA with Scheffe post-hoc analysis was performed within scanner vendor to test for differences between NL, MCI, and AD.

Partial correlation analysis was performed to examine relationships between peak FSE-T2, FSE-T2 histogram width, and neuropsychological test scores while controlling for scanner vendor.

Results

ACR Phantom

Phantom histograms show differences in peak FSE-T2 between manufactures ($GE_{HDx} = 145$ ms, $GE_{Signa Excite} = 135$ ms, Philips_{Intera} = 140ms, Siemens_{Avanto} = 170ms). Histograms of the Siemens scan show approximately 30ms prolonged peak FSE-T2 compared to the GE and Philips counterparts. Histograms from GE scans show the same average value as the Philips histograms (140 ms), but approximately 10ms difference were noted between the 2 GE scanners. Also, Siemens histograms were broader by 5ms as compared to either GE or Philips (histogram width ($GE_{HDx} = 50$ ms, $GE_{Signa Excite} = 50$ ms, Philips_{Intera} = 50ms, Siemens_{Avanto} = 55ms).

ADNI Subjects

Age and gender were not significantly different amongst diagnostic groups or scanner vendors (p > 0.05). Average histograms were created according to vendor and subject population (Figure 5).

Peak FSE-T2—Overall analysis showed a significant difference between scanner vendors (F 2, 73 = 146.37, p < 0.0001). Follow up comparisons revealed Siemens peak FSE-T2 was

15–29ms (p < 0.0001) longer than both GE and Philips across the three diagnostic groups (Figure 5). GE peak values were on average 4ms prolonged over Philips values; however, this did not reach significance possibly due to the larger degree of variance seen across GE subjects.

Within GE platforms no significant differences were found for peak FSE-T2. The directionality of change in GE indicated a decrease in FSE-T2 from NL to MCI, an increase in FSE-T2 from MCI to AD, and an increase in FSE-T2 from NL to AD. Within Philips platforms, no significant differences were found for peak FSE-T2. The directionality of change in Philips shows an increase in peak FSE-T2 from NL to MCI, a decrease in peak FSE-T2 from MCI to AD, and a decrease in peak FSE-T2 from NL to AD. Within Siemens platforms a significant difference was found for peak FSE-T2 from NL to AD. Within Siemens platforms a significant difference was found for peak FSE-T2 between NL and MCI (p > 0.05), but not between NL and AD subjects. The directionality of peak FSE-T2 change in Siemens indicates a decrease from NL to MCI, an increase from MCI to AD, and a decrease from NL to AD.

Tests of variance indicate that Philips ($s^2 = 7.764$) scanners show significantly lower variance than either GE ($s^2 = 38.239$) (p < 0.001) or Siemens ($s^2 = 30.988$) (p < 0.001) for peak FSE-T2. GE and Siemens variance for peak FSE-T2 was not significantly different (p> 0.05).

FSE-T2 histogram width

The width of the histogram reflects the homogeneity of tissue composition. Width was measured at half maximum for each FSE-T2 histogram. Overall analysis showed significant scanner differences (F 2, 73 = 9.483, p < 0.0001). ANOVA revealed a significant difference between Siemens and both Philips and GE (p < 0.005).

Correlations

Peak FSE-T2 and FSE-T2 width were assessed for correlations with age, CDR, MMSE, and GD scale scores. Peak FSE-T2 was found to significantly correlate with age (r = 0.370, p < 0.01). FSE-T2 histogram width was significantly correlated with GD scale (r = 0.232, p < 0.05).

Discussion

The specific goals of this work were to identify potential vendor-dependent systematic differences in quantitative FSE-T2 maps of the ACR phantom and human brain and to study FSE-T2 histogram properties across the spectrum of normal aging, MCI, and AD. Significant overall differences were found between scanner vendors across the FSE-T2 histogram derived parameters in both phantom and human studies. Follow up analysis showed that Siemens had higher FSE-T2 peak values and broader histograms than GE and Philips. Measurements were not statistically significant between diagnostic groups when accounting for scanner vendor, which decreased the effective sample size per group to between 7 and 18 subjects. The small sample size within vendors may partially account for there not being a significant difference between peak FSE-T2 of AD, MCI, and normal aging subjects.

The trends between NL, MCI, and AD, suggest a scanner vendor-disease interaction effect, such that the trend for FSE-T2 between normal aging, MCI, and AD was inconsistent between vendors (i.e. normal aging from one vendor produced prolonged T2 compared to AD, while in another vendor we observed the opposite trend). These interactions are most concerning and will need to be verified with a larger sample. If true, this suggests that

combining data from different vendors in one analysis, even when using co-factors, will end up masking the underlying effect.

Peak FSE-T2 was shown to correlate with age, consistent with the results of a previously published study (Laakso et al., 1996). Histogram width correlated with GD scale. Histogram width reflects water environment inhomogeneity (Whittall et al., 1997), indicating that brain tissue becomes more heterogeneous as the severity of dementia measured by the GD scale increases.

Variability between sites indicates that there was a larger degree of variance between GE and Siemens sites. The variability between sites using GE scanners was also observed in the phantom scans. Although GE was the only vendor for which the phantom was scanned on more than one platform. Additional phantom scans on Philips and Siemens platforms would be useful to help confirm the degree of variance between scanners.

Peak FSE-T2, for both phantom and human subjects, was at least 20–30ms prolonged with Siemens' histograms compared to GE and Philips. The FSE pulse sequences used in the ADNI were not standardized between research sites, consistent with protocols of many other large scale studies including the Framingham Heart Study (DeCarli et al., 2005), MIRAGE (Cuenco et al., 2008), and many clinical drug trials.

This study poses a few limitations related to pulse sequence parameters and scanner hardware and software. Because the sequences were not completely standardized between all platforms, some scans were acquired with different effective TE or ETL. The signal intensity with T2 is primarily controlled by echo time. Effective TE may differ between vendors based on the k-space acquisition scheme, which may have induced some of the observed scanner-related variance. Much of the inaccuracy of FSE-T2 is due to stimulated echo, which is affected by both ETL (turbo factor) and echo spacing. The observed difference in FSE-T2 may be inherent to the vendor-specific scheme used to acquire k-lines with the fast spin echo readout, slice profile, and phase encoding order. Other factors, such as the coils, B0 and B1 inhomogeneities (Majumdar et al., 1986a), and temperature variations, could also contribute to the scanner-related variance.

Whole brain histogram-derived FSE-T2 measures may not be sensitive enough to detect AD-related changes; however, T2 has been shown to regionally differ in AD and MCI compared to normal aging (Englund et al., 1987; Kirsch et al., 1992; Laakso et al., 1996; Pitkanen et al., 1996; Parsey et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2004; Schenck et al., 2006; Arfanakis et al., 2007). A potential area for future research is to examine T2 relaxation times using voxel-based relaxometry (VBR), which has been used to show T2 changes in autism (Hendry et al., 2006), epilepsy (Pell et al., 2004; Pell et al., 2008) and multiple system atrophy of the cerebellar type (Specht et al., 2005, Minnerop et al., 2007).

Future studies that seek to utilize quantitative FSE-T2 measures will need to standardize the pulse sequence across scanners or devise a post-processing method to standardize measures. Alternatively, small fluid-filled objects with known T2 values could be scanned alongside each subjects' head to provide reference signal (House et al., 2006). Using other sequences may also help us to understand some of the differences between how each vendor handles the processing of T2 based imaging, but since these are not generally used in multi-site studies it is difficult to say how this will help us to understand the differences we have found using the FSE sequence.

This study used MRI and neuropsychological test ADNI data across NL, MCI and AD subjects. MRI data acquired with GE, Philips, and Siemens scanners to examine which

properties of FSE-T2 quantitative MRI may be useful for the classification of MCI and early AD. Significant quantitative FSE-T2 differences were found between vendors in peak FSE-T2 and histogram width. The results herein suggest that FSE-T2 histogram measures can vary significantly with scanner vendor. Specifically, Siemens data consistently produced higher peak FSE-T2 values and broader histogram widths than either GE or Philips. The second purpose was to examine T2 histograms within normal aging, MCI, and AD over the whole brain. Few significant differences were found between diagnostic groups and the observed trends were inconsistent amongst the represented vendors, suggesting a potential scanner-disease interaction. The differences in scanner overshadowed the potential influence of subject diagnostic group on FSE-T2 measures. Significant correlations between peak FSE-T2 and FSE-T2 histogram width with global scale of dementia and measures of memory and cognitive functioning were observed.

To the authors' knowledge, a multi-site study involving quantitative FSE-T2 datasets from GE, Philips, and Siemens has not been reported in previous literature. The results obtained in this study should serve to encourage increased quality control for measures of FSE-T2 related scans in large-scale studies utilizing data from multiple scanner platforms. They also point out potential differential effects of scanner brand that may not be adequately controlled by adding a co-variate to a statistical analysis.

Conclusion

The aim of this study was to assess the utility of FSE-T2 quantitative MRI of the brain for the diagnosis of AD and its early manifestations. The results indicate that FSE-T2 measures can vary significantly between scanner platforms and that FSE-T2 quantitative MRI image processing algorithms which include the platform specific magnetization dynamic effects during the FSE readouts are needed for reconciling multi-platform FSE-T2 measurements. Despite these differences, overall FSE-T2 relaxation properties were related to the global dementia status of the subjects.

Acknowledgments

The Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org) coordinates the private sector participation of the \$60 million ADNI public-private partnership that was begun by the National Institute on Aging (NIA) and supported by the National Institutes of Health. To date, more than \$27 million has been provided to the Foundation for NIH by Abbott, AstraZeneca AB, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai Global Clinical Development, Elan Corporation, Genentech, GE Healthcare, GlaxoSmithKline, Innogenetics, Johnson & Johnson, Eli Lilly and Co., Merck & Co., Inc., Novartis AG, Pfizer Inc., F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Schering-Plough, Synarc Inc., and Wyeth, as well as non-profit partners the Alzheimer's Association and the Institute for the Study of Aging.

We would also like to thank Clifford Jack, Bret Borowski, Earl Zimmerman, and John Cowan for their assistance scanning the ACR phantom.

References

- Arfanakis K, Gui M, Tamhane AA, Carew JD. Investigating the medial temporal lobe in Alzheimer's Disease and mild cognitive impairment, with Turboprop diffusion tensor imaging, MRI-volumetry, and T2-relaxometry. Brain Imaging Behav 2007;1:11–21.
- Auer S, Reisberg B. The GDS/FAST staging system. Int. Psychogeriat 1997;1(9 Suppl):167-171.
- Bartzokis G, Beckson M, Hance DB, Marx P, Foster JA, Marder SR. MR evaluation of age-related increase of brain iron in young adult and older normal males. Magn. Reson. Imaging 1997;15:29–35. [PubMed: 9084022]
- Cuenco KT, Green Rc, Shang J, Lunetta K, Erlich PM, Cupples LA, Farrer LA, DeCarli C. for the MIRAGE Study Group. Magnetic resonance imaging traits in siblings discordant for Alzheimer disease. J. Neuroimaging 2008;18:268–275. [PubMed: 18808654]

- DeCarli C, Massaro J, Harvey D, Hald J, Tullberg M, Au R, Beiser A, D'Agostino R, Wolf PA. Measures of brain morphology and infarction in the Framingham heart study: Establishing what is normal. Neurobiol. Aging 2005;26:491–510. [PubMed: 15653178]
- Deoni SCL, Williams SCR, Jezzard P, Suckling J, Murphy DGM, Jones DK. Standardized structural magnetic resonance imaging in multicentre studies using quantitative T1 and T2 imaging at 1.5T. NeuroImage 2008;40:662–671. [PubMed: 18221894]
- Englund E, Brun A, Persson B. Correlations between histopathologic white matter changes and proton MR relaxation times in dementia. Alzheimer Dis. Assoc. Disord 1987;1:156–170. [PubMed: 3453747]
- Fu L, Fonov V, Pike B, Evans AC, Collins DL. Automated analysis of multi site MRI phantom data for the NIHPD project. Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv Int Conf Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv 2006;9(part2):144–151.
- Hendry J, DeVito T, Gelman N, Densmore M, Rajakumar N, Pavlosky W, Williamson PC, Thompson PM, Drost DJ, Nicolson R. White matter abnormalities in autism detected through transverse relaxation time imaging. NeuroImage 2006;29:1049–1057. [PubMed: 16214373]
- House MJ, St. Pierre TG, Foster JK, Martins RN, Clarnette R. Quantitative MR imaging R2 relaxometry in elderly participants reporting memory loss. AJNR 2006;27:430–439. [PubMed: 16484425]
- Ihalainen T, Sipila O, Savolainen S. MRI quality control: Six imagers studied using eleven unified image quality parameters. Eur. J. Radiol 2004;14:1859–1865.
- Jack CR Jr. Hippocampal T2 relaxometry in epilepsy: Past, present, and future. AJNR 1996;17:1811– 1814. [PubMed: 8933862]
- Jack CR Jr, Bernstein MA, Fox NC, Thompson P, Alexander G, Harvey D, Borowski B, Britson PJ, Whitwell JL, Ward C, Dale AM, Felmlee JP, Gunter JL, Hill DLG, Killiany R, Schuff N, Fox-Bosetti S, Lin C, Studholme C, DeCarli CS, Krueger G, Ward HA, Metzger GJ, Scott KT, Mallozzi R, Blezek D, Levy J, Debbins JP, Fleisher AS, Albert M, Green R, Bartzokis G, Glover G, Mugler J, Weiner MW. for the ADNI Study. The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI): MRI methods. J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 2008;27:685–691. [PubMed: 18302232]
- Jara H, Sakai O, Mankal P, Irving R, Norbash AM. Multispectral quantitative magnetic resonance imaging of brain iron stores. Top. Magn. Reson. Imaging 2006;17:19–30. [PubMed: 17179894]
- Jensen ME, Caruthers SD, Jara H. Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging with the mixed turbo spin-echo pulse sequence: A validation study. Internet Journal of Radiology 2001;2 Available from: http://www.ispub.com/journal/the_internet_journal_of_radiology.html.
- Kirsch SJ, Jacobs RW, Butcher LL, Beatty J. Prolongation of magnetic resonance T2 time in hippocampus of human patients marks the presence and severity of Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci. Lett 1992;134:187–190. [PubMed: 1589144]
- Laakso MP, Partane K, Soininen H, Lehtovirta M, Hallikainen M, Hanninen T, Helkala EL, Vainio P, Reikkinen PJ Sr. MR T2 relaxometry in Alzheimer's Disease and age-associated memory impairment. Neurobiol. Aging 1996;17:535–540. [PubMed: 8832627]
- MacKay A, Laule C, Vavasour I, Bjarnason T, Kolind S, Madler B. Insights into brain microstructure from the T2 distribution. Magn. Reson. Imaging 2006;24:515–525. [PubMed: 16677958]
- Majumdar S, Orphanoudakis SC, Gmitro A, O'Donnell M, Gore JC. Errors in the measurements of T2 using multiple-echo MRI techniques: 1. Effect of radiofrequency pulse imperfections. Magn. Reson. Med 1986a;3:397–417. [PubMed: 3724419]
- Majumdar S, Orphanoudakis SC, Gmitro A, O'Donnell M, Gore JC. Errors in the measurements of T2 using multiple-echo MRI techniques: 2. Effects of static field inhomogeneity. Magn. Reson. Med 1986b;3:562–574. [PubMed: 3747818]
- Minnerop M, Specht K, Ruhlmann J, Schimke N, Abele M, Weyer A, Wullner U, Klockgether T. Voxel-based morphometry and voxel-based relaxometry in multiple system atrophy – A comparison between clinical subtypes and correlations with clinical parameters. NeuroImage 2007;36:1086–1095. [PubMed: 17512219]
- Mueller AG, Weiner MW, Thal LJ, Petersen RC, Jack CR, Trojanowski JQ, Toga AW, Beckett L. Ways toward an early diagnosis in Alzheimer's disease: The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI). Alzheimers Dement 2005;1:55–66. [PubMed: 17476317]

- Parsey RV, Krishnan KRR. Quantitative analysis of T2 signal intensities in Alzheimer's Disease. Psychiatry Res 1998;82:181–185. [PubMed: 9754442]
- Pell GS, Briellmann RS, Pardoe H, Abbott DF, Jackson GD. Composite voxel-based analysis of volume and T2 relaxometry in temporal lobe epilepsy. NeuroImage 2008;39:1151–1161. [PubMed: 18042496]
- Pell GS, Briellmann RS, Waites AB, Abbott DF, Jackson GD. Voxel-based relaxometry: A new approach for analysis of T2 relaxometry changes in epilepsy. NeuroImage 2004;21:707–713. [PubMed: 14980573]
- Petersen R, Albert M, DeKosky S, Salmon D, Snyder P, Tariot P. The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative Protocol (ADNI). 2005 http://www.adni-info.org/.
- Pitkanen A, Laakso M, Kiilviainen R, Partanen K, Vainio P, Lehtovirta M, Riekkinen P Sr, Soininen H. Severity of hippocampal atrophy correlates with the prolongation of MRI T2 relaxation time in temporal lobe epilepsy but not in Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology 1996;46:1724–1730. [PubMed: 8649578]
- Poon CS, Henkelman RM. Practical T2 quantitation for clinical applications. J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 1992;2:541–553. [PubMed: 1392247]
- Reisberg B, Ferris SH, de Leon MJ, Crook T. Global Deterioration Scale (GDS). Psychopharmacol. Bull 1988;24:661–663. [PubMed: 3249768]
- Schenck JF, Zimmerman EA, Li Z, Adak S, Saha A, Tandon R, Fish K, Belden C, Gillen RW, Barba A, Henderseon DL, Neil W, O'Keefe T. High-field Magn. Reson. Imaging of brain iron in Alzheimer disease. Top. Magn. Reson. Imaging 2006;17:41–50. [PubMed: 17179896]
- Specht K, Minnerop M, Muller-Hubenthal J, Klockgether T. Voxel-based analysis of multiple-system atrophy of the cerebellar type: Complementary results by combining voxel-based morphometry and voxel-based relaxometry. NeuroImage 2005;25:287–293. [PubMed: 15734363]
- Stonnington CM, Tan G, Kloppel S, Chu C, Dragnaksi B, Jack CR Jr, Chen K, Ashburner J, Frackowiak RSJ. Interpreting scan data acquired form multiple scanners: A study with Alzheimer's disease. NeuroImage 2008;39:1180–1185. [PubMed: 18032068]
- Suzuki S, Sakai O, Jara H. Combined volumetric T1, T2, and secular-T2 quantitative MRI of the brain: Age-related global changes (preliminary results). Magn. Reson. Imaging 2006;24:877–887. [PubMed: 16916705]
- Vymazal J, Brooks RA, Baumgarner C, Traun V, Katx D, Bulte JWM, Bauminger ER, Di Chiro G. The relation between brain iron and NMR relaxation times: An invitro study. Magn Reson, Med 1996;35:56–61. [PubMed: 8771022]
- Wang H, Yuan H, Shu L, Xie J, Zhang D. Prolongation of T2 relaxation times of hippocampus and amygdala in Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci. Lett 2004;363:150–153. [PubMed: 15172104]
- Whittall KP, MacKay AL, Graeb DA, Nugent RA, Li DKB, Paty DW. In Vivo measurement of T2 distributions and water contents in normal human brain. Magn. Reson. Med 1997;37:34–43. [PubMed: 8978630]

Bauer et al.



Figure 1.

Flow chart showing the post-processing data analysis used to obtain histograms from the directly-acquired images.



Figure 2.

Representative T2 quantitative MR maps. **a**) T2 map of a 79 year old male control subject on a GE Signa Excite platform. **b**) T2 map of a 77 year old female subject with MCI scanned on a Siemens Sonata platform. **c**) Shows a T2 map from a 75 year old male AD subject scanned with a Siemens Avanto platform.



Figure 3.

Representative example of segmented brain tissue using a dual-clustering segmentation algorithm. **a**) The brain tissue included in the segmentation is represented in the highlighted region. **b**) Segmented brain.

Bauer et al.





Bauer et al.



Figure 5.

Quantitative ICM T2 histogram averaged within scanner vendor: Philips, GE, and Siemens. The slight bimodal nature of the GE curve represents those subjects from site 005, which had average values approximately 20 ms lower than other GE sites. **a**) Normal control subjects: Philips and GE peak at 97.35 and 98.37 while Siemens peaks at 119.69ms. The width of the spectrum is approximately 50.6 for Philips, GE, and Siemens respectively. **b**) MCI subjects: Philips peak T2 = 94.29ms, GE peak = 99.38ms, while Siemens peaks at 119.09ms. The width of the spectrum is 52, 53, and 55 units for Philips, GE, and Siemens respectively. **c**) AD subjects: Philips peak = 95.68ms, GE peak = 102.08, and Siemens peak

= 116.75ms. The width of the spectrum is 51, 53, and 54 for Philips, GE, and Siemens respectively.

Table 1

Subject gender breakdown by vendor and diagnostic group (female/male).

Subject enrollment table						
	Philips	GE	Siemens	Total		
Normal	6/3	5/13	4/4	15/20		
MCI	2/5	7/6	7/4	16/15		
AD	4/7	6/4	6/4	16/15		
Total	12/15	18/23	17/12	47/50		

Table 2

ACR phantom results from 4 scanners. Siemens shows approximately 30ms prolonged peak T2 compared to GE and Philips. GE showed 10ms variance between scanners.

ACR Phantom peak T2 and histogram width							
	GE HDx	GE Signa Excite	Philips Intera	Siemens Avanto			
Peak T2 (ms)	145	135	140	170			
T2 histogram width	50	50	50	55			